

Smoking is a major cause of serious illness and death throughout the world today. In the interest of the public health, governments should ban cigarettes and other tobacco products. Do you agree or disagree?

Carrying out extended researches on the cause of incurable diseases, scientists reveals smoking could be a pivotal cause of numerous diseases including strokes and cancer. That is why, some people assume not only taking a prohibitionist approach such as introducing laws against consuming drugs is a completely beneficial decision, but also people had better observe them.

I, personally, believe imposing such rules on individuals lead to they assuming it a violation of their liberties. In other words, first and the most significant step is making a mutual trust. Only when members of the public become aware of the detrimental effects of tobacco, would they be eager to make a contribution to reduce the number of consumers. The best point is raising people's awareness. For example, the government need to allocate certain amounts of budget to making movies and series about this issue and **broadcast** them through ubiquitous media.

Despite the obvious drawbacks of these tempting hobbies, some people take profits from them. The case in point is some young members suffering from being nervous. They consider cigarette a tranquilizer item and giving up smoking is unacceptable to them, hence their dependency. Therefore, authorities should respect their way of thinking and convince them before imposing rules.

By way of conclusion, I wholeheartedly disagree with the given statement. Further and even more important, I hold to the conservative view that before legislating new rules, the authorities should think about its possible effects on the minority of the inhabitants. Because it may leave some unsolvable difficulties in society and even isolate these groups of people.